

Reimagining Blended and Hybrid Learning in Higher Education: Opportunities and Challenges in the Age of Generative Artificial Intelligence

Mikael L. Chuaungo*

* Dr. Mikael L. Chuaungo Assistant Professor, Govt. J. Buana College Ph.8257944406
Email: mikael.chuaungo@gmail.com

Abstract

Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) is reshaping higher education by expanding the possibilities of blended and hybrid learning. Its ability to support personalized instruction, intelligent tutoring, automated content creation, adaptive assessment, and accessible learning has introduced new opportunities for enhancing both teaching and learning. This paper examines the conceptual foundations of blended and hybrid learning and explores how GenAI is redefining these instructional approaches. It further analyses the pedagogical, ethical, and institutional challenges associated with GenAI, including issues of academic integrity, data privacy, algorithmic bias, faculty preparedness, and the digital divide. Particular attention is given to the Indian higher education context through an examination of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 and the initiatives of the University Grants Commission (UGC). The paper contends that the effective integration of GenAI depends on balancing technological innovation with sound pedagogy, ethical governance, institutional readiness, and continuous professional development. It concludes that, when implemented responsibly, GenAI can contribute significantly to the development of inclusive, resilient, and future-ready higher education systems.

Keywords: Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI); Blended Learning; Hybrid Learning; Higher Education; Educational Technology; NEP 2020

Introduction

The rapid advancement of digital technologies has profoundly influenced teaching and learning, transforming the ways in which knowledge is created, delivered, accessed, and assessed across educational settings. Although educational institutions had been integrating digital technologies into classroom practice for several years, the COVID-19 pandemic accelerated this transformation, compelling schools and universities worldwide to adopt online and technology-mediated instruction on an unprecedented scale (Hodges, C., Moore, S., Lockee, B., Trust, T., & Bond, A. 2020). In the post-pandemic era, blended and hybrid learning have emerged as sustainable instructional approaches that combine the strengths of face-to-face teaching with the flexibility and accessibility of digital learning environments (Garrison & Kanuka, 2004; Graham, 2006).

Advances in educational technology have further strengthened these learning models. Learning Management Systems (LMS), cloud-based collaboration platforms, mobile technologies, learning analytics, and adaptive learning systems have enabled more interactive, personalized, and

data-informed educational experiences (Bonk & Graham, 2006). More recently, the emergence of Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) has introduced a new dimension to educational innovation. AI-powered applications such as ChatGPT, Google Gemini, Claude, and Microsoft Copilot are increasingly being used to generate instructional materials, provide personalized academic support, facilitate assessment, and assist educators with lesson planning and content development (Kasneci, E., Sessler, K., Küchemann, S., Bannert, M., Dementieva, D., Fischer, F., Gasser, U., Groh, G., Günnemann, S., Hüllermeier, E., Krusche, S., Kutyniok, G., Michaeli, T., Nerdel, C., Pfeffer, J., Poquet, O., Sailer, M., Schmidt, A., Seidel, T., Stadler, M., & Kasneci, G. 2023). As a result, GenAI is beginning to reshape not only teaching practices but also the broader learning experience.

Despite these developments, the integration of GenAI into blended and hybrid learning raises important pedagogical and ethical questions. Issues relating to academic integrity, algorithmic bias, data privacy, overreliance on AI-generated content, and the changing role of educators require careful consideration to ensure that technology complements rather than diminishes meaningful human interaction in education (Crompton & Burke, 2024). These challenges highlight the need to rethink instructional design in ways that combine technological innovation with sound pedagogical principles and learner-centred practices.

Although blended learning, hybrid learning, and educational technologies have been widely studied, comparatively less attention has been given to how GenAI is reshaping these instructional models within a unified pedagogical framework. Existing research has largely focused on technological adoption or specific AI applications, leaving a need for a broader synthesis of how GenAI influences the design, implementation, and future direction of blended and hybrid learning in higher education.

Against this backdrop, the present paper examines how GenAI is redefining blended and hybrid learning in higher education. It reviews the conceptual foundations of these instructional approaches, analyses the opportunities and challenges associated with AI-enabled teaching and learning, and discusses the policy and pedagogical implications of integrating GenAI into contemporary higher education. In doing so, the paper seeks to contribute to the ongoing discourse on developing intelligent, inclusive, and future-ready learning environments.

Conceptual Foundations: Blended, Hybrid Learning and Generative Artificial Intelligence

Blended and hybrid learning have emerged as transformative approaches in higher education, combining the strengths of face-to-face instruction with digital technologies to create more flexible, engaging, and learner-centred educational experiences. While these approaches gained momentum with the advancement of educational technologies, their widespread adoption accelerated during the COVID-19 pandemic, when institutions worldwide were compelled to shift rapidly from conventional classroom teaching to online and mixed-mode instruction (Bozkurt, A., Jung, I., Xiao, J., Vladimirsch, V., Schuwer, R., Egorov, G., Lambert, S. R., Al-Freih, M., Pete, J., Olcott, D., Jr., Rodes, V., Aranciaga, I., Bali, M., Alvarez, A. V., Roberts, J., Pazurek, A., Raffaghelli, J. E., Panagiotou, N., de Coëtlogon, P., ... Paskevicius, M., 2020; Hodges et al., 2020). The recent emergence of GenAI has further reshaped these learning models by enabling intelligent content generation, personalized learning support, and enhanced academic interactions.

Blended and Hybrid Learning

Blended learning refers to the deliberate integration of traditional face-to-face teaching with online learning activities in a manner that complements and enhances the learning experience (Graham, 2006). Rather than merely adding digital resources to classroom instruction, blended learning strategically combines classroom interaction with online discussions, multimedia content, learning management systems (LMS), quizzes, and self-paced activities. This approach promotes flexibility while maintaining meaningful teacher–student engagement and collaborative learning opportunities.

Hybrid learning, although often used interchangeably with blended learning, represents a more flexible instructional model in which students may participate either in person or remotely, often simultaneously. In a hybrid classroom, some learners attend physically while others join synchronously through digital platforms such as Zoom, Google Meet, or Microsoft Teams. Hybrid learning also incorporates asynchronous learning materials, allowing students to access recorded lectures, digital resources, and assessments at their convenience. Consequently, hybrid learning expands educational access by accommodating diverse learner needs, geographical constraints, and varying learning schedules (Raes, A., Detienne, L., Windey, I., & Depaepe, F. 2020).

Both blended and hybrid learning align closely with the objectives of learner-centred education by promoting flexibility, collaboration, active participation, and continuous learning beyond the physical classroom. These approaches have become integral components of higher education institutions seeking to improve educational quality, accessibility, and resilience in an increasingly digital world.

Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) in Education

GenAI refers to a class of AI systems capable of producing new content including text, images, audio, video, computer code, and data analyses, in response to user prompts. Unlike traditional AI systems that primarily classify, predict, or retrieve information, Generative AI creates original outputs by learning patterns from vast datasets using advanced machine learning techniques, particularly LLMs and transformer architectures (Bommasani, R., Hudson, D. A., Adeli, E., Altman, R., Arora, S., von Arx, S., Bernstein, M. S., Bohg, J., Bosselut, A., Brunskill, E., Brynjolfsson, E., Buch, S., Card, D., Castellon, R., Chatterji, N., Chen, A., Creel, K., Davis, J. Q., Demszky, D., ... Liang, P., 2021; OpenAI, 2023).

Applications such as ChatGPT, Google Gemini, Claude, and Microsoft Copilot have rapidly gained prominence in educational settings due to their ability to explain complex concepts, generate lesson plans, summarize academic literature, develop assessment items, provide writing assistance, translate content, and offer immediate feedback. For students, these tools function as on-demand learning companions that facilitate independent learning, while for educators they reduce administrative workload and support instructional design.

The integration of Generative AI into blended and hybrid learning environments extends beyond automation. It enables adaptive learning pathways, personalized tutoring, multilingual support, content creation, and real-time academic assistance, thereby enriching both teaching and learning processes. However, these capabilities also necessitate responsible implementation to ensure academic integrity, transparency, and ethical use.

Theoretical Perspectives

The educational potential of blended, hybrid, and AI-supported learning can be understood through several established learning theories. Constructivist theory (Piaget, 1972) views learning as an active process in which learners construct knowledge through exploration and experience, while Vygotsky's Social Constructivism (1978) emphasizes collaboration and guided learning within the Zone of Proximal Development. Generative AI can complement these perspectives by providing scaffolding, explanations, and interactive learning support tailored to individual learners.

Connectivism, proposed by Siemens (2005), is particularly relevant in the digital age, emphasizing that learning occurs through networks of information, technologies, and social connections. Generative AI enhances these networks by facilitating rapid access to knowledge, supporting inquiry-based learning, and enabling continuous interaction with digital resources.

Similarly, the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework (Mishra & Koehler, 2006) highlights the importance of integrating technology with pedagogy and subject knowledge to achieve effective teaching. Generative AI represents a powerful technological component within this framework, offering educators innovative ways to design learning experiences while maintaining pedagogical effectiveness. Together, these theoretical perspectives provide a strong conceptual foundation for understanding how Generative AI can enrich blended and hybrid learning environments in contemporary higher education.

Opportunities of Generative AI in Blended and Hybrid Learning

The integration of GenAI into blended and hybrid learning environments has significantly expanded the possibilities for teaching and learning in higher education. By combining the flexibility of digital learning with the intelligence of AI-driven systems, educators can create more personalized, interactive, and inclusive learning experiences. Unlike conventional educational technologies that primarily facilitate content delivery, GenAI actively supports knowledge creation, adaptive instruction, and real-time learner engagement. These capabilities are particularly valuable in blended and hybrid settings, where learners often require individualized support while navigating both physical and virtual learning spaces (Kasneci et al., 2023). The following sections highlight the major opportunities presented by GenAI in contemporary higher education.

Personalized and Adaptive Learning

One of the most significant contributions of Generative AI is its ability to facilitate personalized learning experiences. Students differ in their learning pace, prior knowledge, interests, and preferred learning styles. Traditional classroom instruction often struggles to accommodate these individual differences, particularly in large classes. GenAI-powered tools can analyse learner inputs and generate customized explanations, practice exercises, summaries, and examples tailored to each student's level of understanding.

In blended and hybrid learning environments, AI tutors can provide continuous academic support beyond classroom hours, allowing students to revisit difficult concepts at their own pace. This promotes self-directed learning while reducing dependence on instructor availability. Adaptive learning pathways also enable learners to receive progressively challenging content based on their performance, thereby improving motivation and learning outcomes. Such personalization reflects the

broader shift toward learner-centred education advocated under the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020.

Intelligent Tutoring and Continuous Feedback

Timely feedback is essential for effective learning, yet providing individualized feedback remains a challenge for many educators due to increasing class sizes and administrative responsibilities. Generative AI addresses this challenge by functioning as an intelligent tutoring system capable of answering questions, explaining concepts, suggesting improvements, and providing immediate feedback on assignments.

Students can interact with AI conversationally to clarify doubts, receive alternative explanations, and practice problem-solving without waiting for scheduled class sessions. Such continuous support enhances learner confidence and encourages active engagement with course material. For educators, AI-generated formative feedback can reduce workload while allowing more time for mentoring, discussion, and higher-order learning activities. Nevertheless, human oversight remains essential to ensure accuracy and pedagogical appropriateness.

Content Creation and Instructional Design

Generative AI has transformed the process of developing educational resources. Educators can use AI tools to prepare lesson plans, lecture outlines, quizzes, discussion questions, case studies, summaries, rubrics, and multimedia learning materials within a fraction of the time required through conventional methods.

In blended and hybrid classrooms, where instructors often need separate materials for face-to-face, online synchronous, and asynchronous learning, AI significantly enhances instructional efficiency. It also supports the rapid updating of learning resources to reflect current developments, thereby keeping educational content relevant and engaging. Furthermore, AI can simplify complex concepts, generate examples suited to different academic levels, and translate instructional materials into multiple languages, making learning more accessible to diverse student populations (Luckin & Cukurova, 2019).

Enhanced Student Engagement and Collaborative Learning

Student engagement remains a central determinant of academic success in blended and hybrid learning environments. Generative AI contributes to greater engagement by facilitating interactive learning experiences through conversational interfaces, scenario-based simulations, brainstorming activities, and problem-solving exercises.

Students can use AI tools to explore multiple perspectives on a topic, generate ideas for research projects, refine academic writing, and participate in collaborative knowledge construction. Rather than replacing collaborative learning, AI can strengthen peer discussions by providing background information, stimulating inquiry, and encouraging critical reflection. When integrated thoughtfully, these technologies foster active participation, creativity, and deeper conceptual understanding while supporting collaborative learning communities.

Accessibility, Inclusion, and Faculty Support

Another important opportunity presented by Generative AI is its potential to improve educational accessibility and inclusivity. AI-powered systems can generate simplified explanations, translate educational content into different languages, produce captions for videos, convert text into speech, and support learners with diverse educational needs. Such features align with the principles of inclusive education and Universal Design for Learning (UDL), ensuring that educational opportunities are accessible to a broader range of students.

In addition to supporting learners, GenAI also enhances faculty productivity. Routine administrative tasks such as drafting emails, preparing instructional materials, creating assessment questions, and organizing course content can be partially automated, allowing educators to devote greater attention to mentoring, research, curriculum innovation, and student interaction. Consequently, the role of the teacher evolves from being primarily a transmitter of knowledge to that of a facilitator, mentor, and designer of meaningful learning experiences (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

Overall, the integration of Generative AI into blended and hybrid learning represents a significant advancement in higher education. By enabling personalized instruction, intelligent tutoring, efficient content creation, enhanced engagement, and greater accessibility, GenAI has the potential to transform educational practices and improve learning outcomes. However, realizing these benefits requires responsible implementation, institutional support, and ethical governance to ensure that technological innovation complements rather than replaces the indispensable role of educators.

Challenges, Risks, and Ethical Considerations of Generative AI in Blended and Hybrid Learning

Despite its transformative potential, the integration of GenAI into blended and hybrid learning presents several pedagogical, ethical, technological, and institutional challenges. While AI-powered tools can enhance learning experiences, their effectiveness depends on responsible implementation, informed policy frameworks, and continuous human oversight. Without appropriate safeguards, the widespread adoption of GenAI may compromise academic integrity, widen educational inequalities, and undermine the quality of teaching and learning. Consequently, higher education institutions must critically evaluate both the opportunities and the risks associated with these technologies.

Academic Integrity and Authentic Assessment

One of the most widely debated concerns surrounding Generative AI is its impact on academic integrity. AI-powered applications can generate essays, reports, programming code, research summaries, and solutions to complex problems within seconds. While these capabilities can support learning when used responsibly, they also increase the likelihood of plagiarism, contract cheating, and unauthorized assistance during assessments (Cotton, D. R. E., Cotton, P. A., & Shipway, J. R., 2024).

Traditional take-home assignments and written assessments are particularly vulnerable, as educators may find it increasingly difficult to distinguish between original student work and AI-generated content. Moreover, AI detection tools currently available are not entirely reliable and may incorrectly classify authentic student writing as AI-generated or fail to detect sophisticated AI-assisted work (Perkins, 2023). These challenges necessitate a shift towards authentic assessment strategies, including project-based learning, oral examinations, reflective writing, portfolios, collaborative

projects, and real-world problem-solving activities that emphasize critical thinking and creativity rather than simple content production.

Accuracy, Hallucinations, and Reliability of AI Outputs

Although Generative AI systems produce fluent and convincing responses, they do not always generate accurate information. Large Language Models (LLMs) may produce fabricated references, incorrect factual statements, outdated information, or misleading explanations, a phenomenon commonly referred to as AI hallucination (OpenAI, 2023).

In educational contexts, students who rely uncritically on AI-generated responses risk acquiring misconceptions or citing non-existent sources in academic work. Likewise, educators who use AI for instructional planning without verification may inadvertently introduce inaccuracies into teaching materials. Therefore, AI-generated outputs should be viewed as starting points for inquiry rather than authoritative sources of knowledge. Developing students' information literacy, critical evaluation skills, and responsible AI use becomes increasingly important in AI-enabled learning environments.

Data Privacy, Security, and Ethical Concerns

The growing use of cloud-based AI platforms raises significant concerns regarding data privacy, confidentiality, and ethical governance. Many AI applications require users to input personal information, academic work, or institutional data, which may be processed and stored on external servers. Without clear institutional policies, there is a risk of exposing sensitive information or violating data protection regulations.

Ethical concerns also extend to algorithmic bias and transparency. Since AI models are trained on vast datasets collected from diverse sources, they may inadvertently reproduce social, cultural, linguistic, or gender biases embedded within those data. Such biases can influence generated content, reinforce stereotypes, or disadvantage particular groups of learners. Consequently, institutions must promote ethical AI literacy, establish governance frameworks, and encourage transparency regarding the appropriate use of AI in teaching, learning, and assessment.

Digital Divide and Faculty Readiness

The successful integration of Generative AI depends not only on technological availability but also on equitable access and institutional preparedness. Significant disparities remain in internet connectivity, digital infrastructure, device availability, and AI literacy across institutions and student populations, particularly in developing countries and geographically remote regions. These inequalities may limit the benefits of AI-supported blended and hybrid learning and further widen existing educational gaps (OECD, 2023).

Faculty readiness represents another critical challenge. Many educators have limited experience in integrating AI into curriculum design, assessment, or classroom instruction. Concerns regarding job displacement, reduced academic standards, and uncertainty about appropriate pedagogical practices may also contribute to resistance toward AI adoption. Addressing these challenges requires sustained professional development, institutional support, and opportunities for educators to develop AI competencies alongside pedagogical expertise.

Preserving the Human Dimension of Education

While Generative AI can automate numerous educational tasks, it cannot replace the interpersonal relationships that lie at the heart of effective teaching. Education involves mentorship, empathy, ethical guidance, emotional support, and social interaction, which are qualities that remain uniquely human. Excessive dependence on AI may reduce meaningful teacher–student engagement, weaken collaborative learning experiences, and encourage passive reliance on automated solutions.

Accordingly, GenAI should be viewed as an assistive technology rather than a substitute for educators. The teacher's role is evolving from being primarily a transmitter of knowledge to becoming a facilitator of learning, mentor, critical guide, and ethical steward of technology-enhanced education. Maintaining this human-centred approach is essential for ensuring that technological innovation strengthens rather than diminishes the educational experience.

Overall, while Generative AI offers unprecedented opportunities for blended and hybrid learning, its successful implementation requires thoughtful governance, ethical safeguards, inclusive access, and continuous human oversight. Higher education institutions must therefore adopt balanced strategies that maximize the educational benefits of AI while addressing the challenges associated with its responsible and equitable use.

Role of UGC, NEP 2020, and Policy Directions

The successful integration of GenAI into blended and hybrid learning requires a supportive policy ecosystem that promotes innovation while ensuring quality, equity, and ethical use. In India, the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 provides a strong foundation for technology-enabled education by emphasizing digital learning, multidisciplinary education, flexible curricula, and the responsible use of emerging technologies to improve teaching and learning. The policy envisions technology as an enabler of equitable access, personalized learning, and continuous professional development for educators (Ministry of Education, 2020).

Aligned with the objectives of NEP 2020, the University Grants Commission (UGC) has introduced several initiatives to strengthen digital education in higher education institutions. The UGC Guidelines for Multiple Entry and Exit, Academic Bank of Credits (ABC), National Digital Library of India (NDLI), and online learning platforms such as SWAYAM encourage flexible, learner-centred education. Furthermore, the UGC Guidelines for Blended Mode of Teaching and Learning (2021) provide a structured framework for integrating face-to-face and online instruction, enabling institutions to adopt innovative pedagogical approaches while maintaining academic quality.

Recognizing the rapid advancement of artificial intelligence, the UGC has also encouraged higher education institutions to develop AI literacy, strengthen digital infrastructure, and promote the ethical use of AI in teaching, learning, research, and assessment. These efforts are complemented by initiatives from AICTE, the Ministry of Education, and the National Educational Technology Forum (NETF), which advocate the responsible integration of emerging technologies into the higher education ecosystem.

However, policy implementation remains uneven across institutions. Many colleges continue to face challenges related to digital infrastructure, internet connectivity, faculty preparedness for AI adoption. To maximize the educational potential of GenAI, policy frameworks should move beyond

technology adoption to include comprehensive faculty training, AI literacy programmes for students, institutional guidelines on ethical AI use, data privacy safeguards, and revised assessment practices that encourage critical thinking rather than content reproduction. Such measures will ensure that Generative AI becomes a catalyst for educational transformation while preserving academic integrity and learner-centred pedagogy.

Recommendations for Higher Education Institutions

To harness the full potential of GenAI in blended and hybrid learning, higher education institutions must adopt strategic and learner-centred implementation approaches. The integration of AI should complement pedagogical objectives rather than being viewed merely as a technological innovation.

First, institutions should invest in continuous faculty development by providing training on AI literacy, prompt engineering, ethical AI use, and the pedagogical integration of GenAI into curriculum design, assessment, and student support. Empowering educators with these competencies will enable them to use AI effectively while maintaining academic standards.

Second, universities should develop institutional policies and ethical guidelines governing the use of Generative AI. Such policies should clearly define acceptable and unacceptable uses of AI in teaching, learning, research, and assessment, while addressing issues related to academic integrity, transparency, data privacy, intellectual property, and accountability.

Third, assessment practices should be redesigned to emphasize higher-order cognitive skills such as critical thinking, creativity, problem-solving, collaboration, and reflection. Authentic assessments, including project-based learning, case studies, presentations, oral examinations, and reflective portfolios, are less susceptible to misuse of AI-generated content and better evaluate students' understanding and application of knowledge.

Fourth, institutions should strengthen digital infrastructure and equitable access to AI-enabled educational technologies. Reliable internet connectivity, modern learning management systems, digital libraries, and licensed AI tools should be made available to both students and faculty, ensuring that technological advancements do not widen existing educational inequalities.

Finally, the adoption of GenAI should remain human-centred. AI should be viewed as an educational assistant that enhances teaching and learning rather than replacing the expertise, mentorship, and ethical guidance provided by educators. A balanced approach that combines technological innovation with sound pedagogy, inclusivity, and responsible governance will enable higher education institutions to build resilient and future-ready learning environments.

Conclusion

The emergence of GenAI marks a significant turning point in the evolution of blended and hybrid learning in higher education. By enabling personalized learning, intelligent tutoring, efficient content creation, enhanced accessibility, and improved instructional support, GenAI has the potential to transform teaching and learning into more flexible, engaging, and learner-centred experiences. These capabilities align closely with the broader goals of educational innovation, digital transformation, and lifelong learning envisioned in contemporary higher education.

At the same time, the integration of GenAI presents important challenges related to academic integrity, data privacy, algorithmic bias, digital inequality, and faculty preparedness. Addressing these concerns requires a balanced approach that combines technological advancement with sound pedagogy, ethical governance, institutional readiness, and continuous professional development. Rather than replacing educators, GenAI should serve as a complementary tool that enhances teaching effectiveness while preserving the essential human dimensions of education, including mentorship, critical inquiry, empathy, and meaningful interaction.

In the Indian context, policy initiatives such as the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 and the University Grants Commission's digital education reforms provide a strong foundation for the responsible integration of AI into higher education. However, translating these policy aspirations into practice will require sustained investment in digital infrastructure, AI literacy, institutional governance, and innovative assessment practices.

Ultimately, the future of blended and hybrid learning will depend not only on the advancement of artificial intelligence but also on the collective ability of educators, institutions, and policymakers to harness these technologies responsibly. When guided by pedagogical principles, ethical values, and inclusive policies, Generative AI can become a powerful catalyst for creating resilient, equitable, and future-ready higher education systems.

References:

- Bommasani, R., Hudson, D. A., Adeli, E., Altman, R., Arora, S., von Arx, S., Bernstein, M. S., Bohg, J., Bosselut, A., Brunskill, E., Brynjolfsson, E., Buch, S., Card, D., Castellon, R., Chatterji, N., Chen, A., Creel, K., Davis, J. Q., Demszky, D., ... Liang, P. (2021). *On the opportunities and risks of foundation models*. Stanford Center for Research on Foundation Models. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.07258>
- Bonk, C. J., & Graham, C. R. (Eds.). (2006). *The handbook of blended learning: Global perspectives, local designs*. Pfeiffer.
- Bozkurt, A., Jung, I., Xiao, J., Vladimirsch, V., Schuwer, R., Egorov, G., Lambert, S. R., Al-Freih, M., Pete, J., Olcott, D., Jr., Rodes, V., Aranciaga, I., Bali, M., Alvarez, A. V., Roberts, J., Pazurek, A., Raffaghelli, J. E., Panagiotou, N., de Coëtlogon, P., ... Paskevicius, M. (2020). A global outlook to the interruption of education due to COVID-19 pandemic: Navigating in a time of uncertainty and crisis. *Asian Journal of Distance Education*, 15(1), 1–126.
- Cotton, D. R. E., Cotton, P. A., & Shipway, J. R. (2024). Chatting and cheating: Ensuring academic integrity in the era of ChatGPT. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 61(2), 228–239. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14703297.2023.2190148>
- Crompton, H., & Burke, D. (2024). The educational affordances and challenges of ChatGPT: State of the field. *TechTrends*, 68(2), 380–392. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-024-00939-0>
- Fuller, M., & Barnes, N. (2024). The impact of ChatGPT on teaching and learning in higher education: Exploring the dual perspectives of participants who were students and teachers. *New Directions for Higher Education*, 2024, 31–46. <https://doi.org/10.1002/he.20507>
- Garrison, D. R., & Kanuka, H. (2004). Blended learning: Uncovering its transformative potential in higher education. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 7(2), 95–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2004.02.001>
- Graham, C. R. (2006). Blended learning systems: Definition, current trends, and future directions. In C. J. Bonk & C. R. Graham (Eds.), *The handbook of blended learning: Global perspectives, local designs* (pp. 3–21). Pfeiffer.

- Hodges, C., Moore, S., Lockee, B., Trust, T., & Bond, A. (2020). *The difference between emergency remote teaching and online learning*. EDUCAUSE Review.
- Kasneci, E., Sessler, K., Küchemann, S., Bannert, M., Dementieva, D., Fischer, F., Gasser, U., Groh, G., Günemann, S., Hüllermeier, E., Krusche, S., Kutyniok, G., Michaeli, T., Nerdel, C., Pfeffer, J., Poquet, O., Sailer, M., Schmidt, A., Seidel, T., Stadler, M., & Kasneci, G. (2023). ChatGPT for good? On opportunities and challenges of large language models for education. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 103, Article 102274. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2023.102274>
- Luckin, R., & Cukurova, M. (2019). Designing educational technologies in the age of AI: A learning sciences perspective. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 50(6), 2824–2838. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12861>
- Ministry of Education. (2020). *National Education Policy 2020*. Government of India.
- Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. *Teachers College Record*, 108(6), 1017–1054. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9620.2006.00684.x>
- OECD. (2023). *OECD digital education outlook 2023: Towards an effective digital education ecosystem*. OECD Publishing.
- OpenAI. (2023). *GPT-4 technical report*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.08774>
- Perkins, M. (2023). Academic integrity considerations of AI large language models in higher education: ChatGPT and beyond. *Journal of University Teaching & Learning Practice*, 20(2). <https://doi.org/10.53761/1.20.02.07>
- Piaget, J. (1972). *The psychology of the child*. Basic Books.
- Raes, A., Detienne, L., Windey, I., & Depaepe, F. (2020). A systematic literature review on synchronous hybrid learning. *Educational Research Review*, 31, Article 100351. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2020.100351>
- Siemens, G. (2005). Connectivism: A learning theory for the digital age. *International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning*, 2(1), 3–10.
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
- Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education-Where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 16, Article 39. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0>